arXiv:0801.2314v1 [math.FA] 15 Jan 2008

OPERATORS ON $C_0(L,\mathrm{X})$ WHOSE RANGE DOES NOT CONTAIN c_0

JARNO TALPONEN

Abstract. This paper contains the following results:
(a) Suppose that $\mathrm{X}\neq\{0\}$ is a Banach space and (L,τ) is a non-empty locally compact Hausdorff space without isolated points. Then each linear operator $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to C_0(L,\mathrm{X})$ whose range does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_{00} satisfies the Daugavet equality $||\mathbf{I}+T||=1+||T||$.
(b) Let Γ be a non-empty set and X,Y be Banach spaces such that X is reflexive and Y does not contain c_0 isomorphically. Then any continuous linear operator $T\colon c_0(\Gamma,\mathrm{X})\to\mathrm{Y}$ is weakly compact.

1. Introduction

In what follows X,Y and Z are real Banach spaces different from $\{0\}$, L is a non-empty locally compact Hausdorff space and $C_0(L,\mathrm{X})$ denotes the $||\cdot||_\infty$-normed Banach space of X-valued continuous functions on L vanishing at infinity. This work is related to the result due to P. Cembranos [2] that if K is an infinite compact and X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space, then the Banach space $C(K,\mathrm{X})$ of X-valued continuous functions on K contains a complemented copy of c_0. See also the related paper [1] where the Dieudonné property of $C(K,\mathrm{X})$ is studied.

Taking another direction, we will study continuous linear operators of the type $(*)$ $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to\mathrm{Y}$, where Y does not contain c_0 isomorphically.
Above there is a structural disparity between spaces $C_0(L,\mathrm{X})$ and Y, since typically the former space contains copies of c_0 in abundance. This difference has a strong impact on the properties of T. Namely, it turns out that the range of T in Y is *small* in some sense.

If L does not contain isolated points, then an operator $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to C_0(L,\mathrm{X})$ of type $(*)$ satisfies the Daugavet type equality $||\mathbf{I}+T||=1+||T||$ (see Theorem 2.1). See [5] for recent discussion on matters related to the Daugavet property.

If L is discrete, X is reflexive and c_0 is not contained in Y isomorphically, then an operator $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to\mathrm{Y}$ is weakly compact (see Theorem 2.3).

Preliminaries. Here X and Y denote real Banach spaces. The closed unit ball and the unit sphere of X are denoted by $\mathbf{B}_\mathrm{X}$ and $\mathbf{S}_\mathrm{X}$, respectively. An identity mapping is denoted by $\mathbf{I}$. An operator $T\colon\mathrm{X}\to\mathrm{Y}$ is weakly compact if $\overline{T(\mathbf{B}_\mathrm{X})}$ is weakly compact. If $\mathrm{X}\neq\{0\}$, then we say that X is *non-trivial*. For given sets $A\subset B$ the mapping $\chi_A\colon B\to\{0,1\}$ is determined by $\chi_A(t)=1$ if and only if $t\in A$. We refer to [3], [4] and [6] for suitable background information including definitions and basic results.

Date: February 2, 2008.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20; Secondary 46B28.

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let* X *be a non-trivial Banach space and* (L,τ) *a non-empty locally compact Hausdorff space without isolated points. Then each linear operator* $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to C_0(L,\mathrm{X})$ *whose range does not contain an isomorphic copy of* c_{00} *satisfies the Daugavet equality*

$$||\mathbf{I}+T||=1+||T||.$$

Let us first make some preparations before giving the proof. It is easy to see that the range of T contains c_{00} isomorphically if and only if the closure of the range contains c_0.

The assumption that L does not contain isolated points cannot be removed. Indeed, if L is not a singleton, $t_0\in L$ is an isolated point and X contains no isomorphic copy of c_0, then the linear operator $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to \mathrm{X};\ F\mapsto -\chi_{\{t_0\}}(\cdot)F(\cdot)$ is of type $(*)$ and satisfies $||T||=||\mathbf{I}+T||=1$.

The above Theorem 2.1 holds analogously for $T\colon CB(L,\mathrm{X})\to CB(L,\mathrm{X})$, essentially with the same proof. Here $CB(L,\mathrm{X})$ is the $||\cdot||_\infty$-normed Banach space of X-valued bounded continuous functions on L.

For a linear operator $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to \mathrm{Y}$ we denote

$$\mathrm{osc}_T(A)=\sup\{||TF||:\ F\in \mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})},\ L\setminus U\subset F^{-1}(0)\}\quad \text{for } A\subset L.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to \mathrm{Y}$ *be a linear operator, where* Y *does not contain* c_0 *isomorphically. Suppose that* $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a sequence of pair-wise disjoint non-empty open subsets of* L*. Then* $\mathrm{osc}_T(V_n)\to 0$ *as* $n\to 0$*.*

Proof. By passing to a subsequence it suffices, without loss of generality, to show that $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{osc}_T(V_n)=0$. Indeed, assume to the contrary that there is some $d>0$ such that $\mathrm{osc}_T(V_n)\geq d$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. This means that one can find a sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset(\frac{1}{d}+1)\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})}$ such that F_n is supported in V_n and $||T(F_n)||=1$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Note that for each finite subset $I\subset\mathbb{N}$ it holds that $\sum_{i\in I}F_i\in(\frac{1}{d}+1)\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})}$ as V_n are pair-wise disjoint. Since T is linear and continuous, we obtain that

$$\sup_{\epsilon,I}\left|\left|\sum_{i\in I}T(\epsilon_i F_i)\right|\right|\leq\left(\frac{1}{d}+1\right)||T||,$$

where the supremum is taken over all signs $\epsilon\colon\mathbb{N}\to\{-1,1\}$ and finite subsets $I\subset\mathbb{N}$.

Recall the well-known result due to Bessaga and Pelczynski (see e.g. [4, p.202]) that in a Banach space Y a sequence $(y_n)\subset S_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is equivalent to the standard unit vector basis of c_0 if and only if $\sup_{\epsilon,I}||\sum_{i\in I}\epsilon_i y_i||<\infty$ (supremum taken as above). By placing $y_i=T(F_i)$ we obtain that the range of T contains c_{00} isomorphically, which contradicts the assumptions. Hence $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{osc}_T(V_n)=0$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Recall that as (L,τ) is a locally compact Hausdorff space it is completely regular, that is, for each closed set $C\subset L$ and $t\in L\setminus C$ there is a continuous map $s\colon L\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $s(C)=\{0\}$ and $s(t)=1$.

Suppose that there are no isolated points in (L,τ). Let $T\colon C_0(L,\mathrm{X})\to C_0(L,\mathrm{X})$ be a linear operator. If the operator norm of T is 0 or ∞, then the Daugavet equation holds trivially, so that we may concentrate on the case $||T||=C\in(0,\infty)$. Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Fix $F\in\mathbf{S}_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})}$ such that $G=TF$ satisfies $||G||>C-\frac{1}{k}$. Consider the open subspace $U=\{t\in L:\ ||G(t)||>C-\frac{1}{k}\}$ of L.

We can pick a sequence $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset U$ of pair-wise disjoint open subsets as follows. Clearly $\overline{U}$ is also a locally compact (even compact) Hausdorff space which does not contain isolated points. Hence U itself is not a singleton, and we may take two points $t_0, t_1 \in U,\ t_0 \neq t_1$. Since U is a Hausdorff space, there are disjoint open neighbourhoods $U_0, U_1 \subset U$ of t_0 and t_1, respectively. By repeating the same reasoning, pick $t_{10}, t_{11} \in U_1,\ t_{10} \neq t_{11}$ and disjoint open neigbourhoods $U_{10}, U_{11} \subset U_1$ of t_{10} and t_{11}, respectively. Similarly, pick $t_{110}, t_{111} \in U_{11},\ t_{110} \neq t_{111}$ and the corresponding disjoint open neighbourhoods $U_{110}, U_{111} \subset U_{11}$. Proceeding in this manner yields a sequence of pair-wise disjoint open subsets by letting $V_n = U_s,\ s \in \{1\}^n \times \{0\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $c_0 \not\subset \overline{T(C_0(L,\mathrm{X}))}$ we obtain by using Lemma 2.2 that $\mathrm{osc}_T(V_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{osc}_T(V_n) < \frac{1}{k}$. Let $u_0 \in V_n$. By using the complete regularity of L one can find a continuous map $s\colon L \to [0,1]$ such that $s(L\backslash V_n) = \{0\}$ and $s(u_0) = 1$. Observe that the mappings $s(\cdot)F(\cdot)$ and $\frac{s(\cdot)}{\max(1,||G(\cdot)||_{\mathrm{X}})}G(\cdot)$ are elements of $\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})}$. Hence

$$(2.1)\quad ||T(s(\cdot)F(\cdot))||_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})} \leq \frac{1}{k} \text{ and } \left|\left|T\left(\frac{s(\cdot)}{\max(1,||G(\cdot)||_{\mathrm{X}})}G(\cdot)\right)\right|\right|_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})} \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

by the definition of $\mathrm{osc}_T(V_n)$. Note that

$$\left|\left|(1-s(t))F(t) - \frac{s(t)}{\max(1,||G(t)||_{\mathrm{X}})}G(t)\right|\right|_{\mathrm{X}} \leq (1-s(t))||F(t)||_{\mathrm{X}} + s(t) \leq 1$$

for all $t \in L$. Hence $E(\cdot) \doteq (1-s(\cdot))F(\cdot) + \frac{s(\cdot)}{\max(1,||G(\cdot)||_{\mathrm{X}})}G(\cdot)$ defines an element of $\mathbf{B}_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})}$. Note that E is a kind of interpolation of F and G.

Observe that

$$||G - T(E)|| \leq \frac{2}{k}$$

according to (2.1), and that

$$\begin{aligned} ||E+G||_{C_0(L,\mathrm{X})} &\geq ||(E+G)u_0||_{\mathrm{X}} = \left|\left|\tfrac{s(u_0)}{||G(u_0)||_{\mathrm{X}}}G(u_0) + G(u_0)\right|\right|_{\mathrm{X}} \\ &= 1 + ||G(u_0)||_{\mathrm{X}} > 1 + C - \tfrac{1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$||\mathbf{I} + T|| \geq ||E + T(E)|| \geq ||E+G|| - ||G - T(E)|| > 1 + C - \frac{3}{k}$$

and by letting $k \to \infty$ we obtain that $||\mathbf{I} + T|| \geq 1 + C = 1 + ||T||$. By the triangle inequality $||\mathbf{I} + T|| \leq 1 + ||T||$ and we have the claim. □

Let us recall a few classical results due to James which are applied here frequently: A closed convex subset $C \subset \mathrm{X}$ is weakly compact if and only if each $f \in \mathrm{X}^*$ attains its supremum over C, and X is reflexive if and only if $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is weakly compact (see e.g. [4, Ch.3]).

Theorem 2.3. *Let* Γ *be a non-empty set and* X, Y *be Banach spaces such that* X *is reflexive and* Y *does not contain* c_0 *isomorphically. Then any continuous linear operator* $T\colon c_0(\Gamma,\mathrm{X}) \to \mathrm{Y}$ *is weakly compact.*

The above result holds similarly for $\ell^\infty(\Gamma,\mathrm{X})$ in place of $c_0(\Gamma,\mathrm{X})$, essentially with the same proof. Note that the operators $\mathbf{I}\colon c_0(\mathbb{N},\mathrm{X}) \to c_0(\mathbb{N},\mathrm{X})$ and $T\colon c_0(\mathbb{N},\mathrm{Y}) \to$

Y; $(y_n) \mapsto (y_1, 0, 0, \ldots)$ are not weakly compact for any non-trivial X and non-reflexive Y according to the James characterization of reflexivity. Hence neither of the assumptions about the reflexivity or the non-containment of c_0 can be removed.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $T\colon c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X}) \to \mathrm{Y}$ be a continuous linear operator such that $\overline{T(\mathrm{Y})}$ does not contain c_0. One may write $c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X}) = C_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X})$ isometrically where Γ on the right hand side is interpreted as a discrete topological space.

We claim that the sum $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{osc}_T(\{\gamma\})$ is defined and finite. Indeed, otherwise one can extract pair-wise disjoint (open) subsets $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \mathrm{osc}_T(\{\gamma\}) \geq 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, since $c_0 \not\subset \overline{T(\mathrm{Y})}$, Lemma 2.2 yields that this case does not occur. Thus there exists a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\mathrm{osc}_T(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

In order to verify the statement of the theorem we must show that $\overline{T(\mathbf{B}_{c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X})})}$ is weakly compact. In doing this we will apply the James characterization of weakly compact sets. Fix $f \in \mathrm{Y}^*$. It suffices to show that f attains its supremum over $\overline{T(\mathbf{B}_{c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X})})}$. Observe that $f \circ T$ defines an element of $C_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X})^*$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define a contractive linear projection $P_k\colon c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X}) \to c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X})$ by $P_k f(\cdot) = \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}}(\cdot) f(\cdot)$. Put $g_k = f \circ T \circ P_k$ and $\mathrm{Z}_k = P_k(c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X}))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that g_k restricted to Z_k satisfies $g_k|_{\mathrm{Z}_k} \in \mathrm{Z}_k^*$, where $\mathrm{Z}_k^* = \ell^1(\{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}, \mathrm{X}^*)$ isometrically for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $g_{k+l}|_{\mathrm{Z}_k} = g_k|_{\mathrm{Z}_k}$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence there is a sequence $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{X}^*$ such that

$$g_k\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) y_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} x_n^*(y_n) \tag{2.2}$$

for $\sum_{n=1}^{k} \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}} y_n \in \mathrm{Z}_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Observe that since X is reflexive, there exists according to the James characterization of reflexivity a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{X}}$ such that $x_n^*(x_n) = ||x_n^*||$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} x_n^*(x_n) = ||g_k|| \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.3}$$

Now, since $\mathrm{osc}_T(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_n\}_{n \leq k}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} ||T - T \circ P_k|| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \to \infty} ||g_k - f \circ T|| = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

By putting these observations together and using the continuity of T we obtain that the sequence $\left(T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) x_n\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ is Cauchy. On the other hand,

$$||f \circ T|| \geq f \circ T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) x_n\right) \geq ||g_k|| - ||g_k - f \circ T|| \to ||f \circ T|| \quad \text{as } k \to \infty$$

by using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4). We conclude that

$$y \doteq \lim_{k \to \infty} T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \chi_{\{\gamma_n\}}(\cdot) x_n\right) \in \overline{T(\mathbf{B}_{c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X})})}$$

satisfies $f(y) = ||f \circ T|| = \sup_{z \in T(B_{c_0(\Gamma, \mathrm{X})})} f(z)$, which completes the proof. □

Problem 2.4. *We do not know if a linear operator* $T\colon C_0(L, \mathrm{X}) \to \mathrm{Y}$ *is weakly compact if* $c_0 \not\subset \mathrm{Y}$ *and* L *is* 0*-dimensional.*

References

[1] F. Bombal, P. Cembranos, The Dieudonné Property of $C(K, E)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol 285, (1984), p. 649-656.

[2] P. Cembranos, $C(K, E)$ contains a complemented copy of c_0, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 91, (1984) p. 556-558.

[3] J. Diestel, J. Uhl Jr., *Vector measures*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.

[4] P. Habala, P. Hajek, V. Zizler, *Introduction to Banach Spaces I-II*, matfyzpress, 1996.

[5] D. Werner, Recent progress on the Daugavet property, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. No. 46 (2001), p. 77-97.

[6] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Dover Publications, 2004.

University of Helsinki, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Box 68,, (Gustaf Hällströminkatu 2b) FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: `talponen@cc.helsinki.fi`